## IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

IN THE MATTER OF:	)
COREY E.& SHELLI R. GILKERSON,	) ) ) CASE NO. BK09-82133-TJM
Debtor(s).	) A09-8055-TJM
COREY E.& SHELLI R. GILKERSON,	)
Plaintiffs,	) CH. 13
vs.	)
HSBC MORTGAGE SERVICES,	)
Defendant.	)

## **ORDER**

This matter is before the court on the plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment (Fil. #4). No resistance was filed. John T. Turco represents the debtors. No appearance has been made for the defendant. A brief and evidence in support of the motion was filed, and, pursuant to the court's authority under Nebraska Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 7056-1, the motion was taken under advisement without oral arguments.

The debtors filed this adversary proceeding to strip off a second lien on their residence. The property is valued at \$200,000. U.S. Bank Consumer Finance holds a first lien against the property in the approximate amount of \$221,962.00. HSBC Mortgage Services holds a second lien against the property in the approximate amount of \$54,000.00.

The following facts are undisputed:

- 1. Plaintiffs are debtors in this Chapter 13 proceeding.
- 2. Plaintiffs reside at 9906 North 52nd St., Omaha, Nebraska, 68152-1522.
- 3. Plaintiffs are the owners of real property legally described as Lot 15, in Shelter Hill, an addition to the City of Omaha, as surveyed, platted and recorded in Douglas County, Nebraska, commonly known as 9906 North 52nd St., Omaha, NE 68152-1522.
- 4. The above-described property has at all relevant times been the personal residence of the plaintiffs herein.
- 5. U.S. Bank Consumer Finance holds a first lien against the real property in the amount of \$221,961.99.

- 6. HSBC Mortgage Services holds a second lien against the real property in the amount of \$54,015.54.
- 7. The amount owed on the first lien exceeds \$200,000.00, the fair market value of the property.
- 8. Because the total amount owed on the first lien exceeds the fair market value of the property, the second lien of HSBC Mortgage Services is wholly unsecured.
  - 9. Plaintiff filed the complaint in this proceeding on September 16, 2009.
- 10. The summons and complaint were served on the defendant by mailing a copy via certified mail service, return receipt requested, on September 21, 2009.
  - 11. The time for filing an answer or other response expired on October 17, 2009.
- 12. Defendant HSBC Mortgage Services was properly served and did not file an answer by the response deadline.
- 13. Defendants are not infants or incompetent persons as set out in Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 7055(b)(2).
  - 14. Defendants are not in the military service.

Debtors in Chapter 13 may "strip off" or wholly avoid the lien of a junior mortgagee where there is no equity securing the security interest in the property. This question has already been decided in this jurisdiction by <u>In re Sanders</u>, 202 B.R. 986 (Bankr. D. Neb. 1996). <u>See also In re Valentine</u>, Case No. BK07-40039-TLS (Bankr. D. Neb. Mar. 27, 2007).

In the present case, there is no dispute that the second lien is wholly unsecured. Accordingly, it may be stripped off. As explained recently in <u>Begley v. American Nat'l Bank (In re Begley)</u>, 2009 Bankr. LEXIS 2310 (Bankr. D. Neb. July 15, 2009),

[t]his court is not alone in determining that a Chapter 13 debtor may strip off a junior mortgagee's wholly unsecured lien. See Pond v. Farm Specialist Realty (In re Pond), 252 F.3d 122 (2d Cir. 2001); McDonald v. Master Fin., Inc. (In re McDonald), 205 F.3d 606 (3d Cir. 2000); Bartee v. Tara Colony Homeowners Ass'n (In re Bartee), 212 F.3d 277 (5th Cir. 2000); Lane v. W. Interstate Bancorp (In re Lane), 280 F.3d 663, (6th Cir. 2002); Zimmer v. PSB Lending Corp. (In re Zimmer), 313 F.3d 1220 (9th Cir. 2002); Tanner v. FirstPlus Fin., Inc. (In re Tanner), 217 F.3d 1357 (11th Cir. 2000); Johnson v. Asset Mgmt. Group, L.L.C. (In re Johnson), 226 B.R. 364 (D. Md. 1998); Domestic Bank v. Mann (In re Mann), 249 B.R. 831 (B.A.P. 1st Cir. 2000); Griffey v. U.S. Bank (In re Griffey), 335 B.R. 166 (B.A.P. 10th Cir. 2005); Lam v. Investors Thrift (In re Lam), 211 B.R. 36, 41 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1997).

Summary judgment is appropriate only if the record, when viewed in the light most favorable to the non-moving party, shows there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and that the moving party is entitled to a judgment as a matter of law. Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c) (made applicable to adversary proceedings in bankruptcy by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7056); see, e.g., Celotex Corp. v. Catrett, 477 U.S. 317, 322-23 (1986); Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 477 U.S. 242, 249-50 (1986); Aviation Charter, Inc. v. Aviation Research Group/US, 416 F.3d 864, 868 (8th Cir. 2005); Ferris, Baker Watts, Inc. v. Stephenson (In re MJK Clearing, Inc.), 371 F.3d 397, 401 (8th Cir. 2004).

To withstand a motion for summary judgment, the non-moving party "has an affirmative burden to designate specific facts creating a triable controversy." Crossley v. Georgia-Pac. Corp., 355 F.3d 1112, 1113 (8th Cir. 2004) (internal citations omitted). Failure to oppose a basis for summary judgment constitutes a waiver of that argument. Satcher v. Univ. of Ark. at Pine Bluff Bd. of Trs., 558 F.3d 731, 734-35 (8th Cir. 2009). "Rule 56(c) mandates the entry of summary judgment, after adequate time for discovery and upon motion, against a party who fails to make a showing sufficient to establish the existence of an element essential to that party's case, and on which that party will bear the burden of proof at trial." Celotex, 477 U.S. at 322.

<u>Id.</u> at \*3-5.

There are no material facts in dispute here. The debtors may strip off the wholly unsecured lien held by HSBC Mortgage Services for the purposes of the Chapter 13 plan. However, the lien shall not be avoided until the plaintiffs complete the Chapter 13 plan in its entirety. In the event the Chapter 13 case is converted or dismissed prior to plan completion, HSBC would continue to hold a valid and unavoided lien secured by the plaintiffs' residential real property. For this reason no documentation of lien avoidance need or shall be recorded until such time as the plaintiffs successfully complete the Chapter 13 plan.

IT IS ORDERED: The plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment (Fil. #4) is granted. Separate judgment will be entered.

DATED: December 22, 2009

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Timothy J. Mahoney United States Bankruptcy Judge

Notice given by the Court to:

\*John T. Turco Kathleen A. Laughlin

U.S. Trustee

Movant (\*) is responsible for giving notice to other parties if required by rule or statute.